

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/061,174 | 02/04/2002 | Hitoshi Yamakado | 111885 | 9628 |
| 25944 75 | 590 07/20/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 | | | HA, LEY | NNA A |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2135 | .= |

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Reexamination | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/061,174 | YAMAKADO, HITOSHI | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Art Unit | | | |
| | Leynna Ha | 2135 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | appeal Brief Review | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri | er Request for Review filed <u>04/</u> | <u>04/06</u> . | | | |
| Improper Request – The Req reason(s): | uest is improper and a confere | nce will not be held for the following | | | |
| The request does not include | t been filed concurrent with the e reasons why a review is appro- ncluded with the Pre-Appeal Bri | opriate. | | | |
| The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm | | pt date of the Notice of Appeal or from all has been received. | | | |
| held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice. | appeal because there is at least in accordance with 37 CFR 41 cm mailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greated FR 1.136 based upon the mail | A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been st one actual issue for appeal. Applicant .37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period er. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date | | | |
| ☐ The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | he status of the claim(s) is as f | ollows: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consid | eration: | | | | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| | • | // | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Leynna Ha</u> . | (3) <i>Kim Vu</i> . | KIM VU | | | |

(4)_____.

Application/Control No.

Applicant(s)/Patent under

(2) Joe Dixon.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100